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Learning Objectives

1. By the end of this session participants will be able to describe a practical, working example of the use of public health data to improve linkage to care for persons with HIV.

2. By the end of the session participants will be able to discuss the operational considerations when utilizing surveillance data for clinical purposes.

3. By the end of the session participants will be able to outline the primary legal and ethical questions involved with sharing public health data.
Introduction

A collaborative project of:

LSU HCSD

Funded in part by HRSA
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Outline

- Using HIV surveillance to support public health programs
- Overview of HIV, TB, STDs in Louisiana
- Development of LaPHIE
- Considerations in using surveillance data for public health action
HRSA Model of Care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not in Care</th>
<th>In Care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unaware of HIV Status (not tested or never received results)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Know HIV Status (not referred to care or didn't keep referral)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May Be Receiving Other Medical Care But Not HIV Care</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entered HIV Primary Medical Care But Dropped Out (lost to follow-up)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In and Out of HIV Care or Infrequent User</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully Engaged in HIV Primary Medical Care</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Surveillance: What is it?**

- *Public health surveillance is*
  
  “…the ongoing systematic collection, analysis, and interpretation of health data…
  
  …essential to the planning, implementation, and evaluation of public health practice…
  
  …closely integrated with the timely dissemination of these data to those responsible for prevention and control.”
The Roles of HIV Surveillance

- Tracking the progression of the epidemic
- Detecting changes in transmission patterns
- Targeting and planning prevention and care activities
- Informing allocation of resources
- Estimating unmet need
The Roles of HIV Surveillance

Sentinel Events

- HIV Exposure (Children)
- HIV Infection
- HIV Diagnosis (Year of Diagnosis)
- HIV Report (Year of Report)
- AIDS Diagnosis
- AIDS Report
- Death

Health status over time via labs
Evolution of HIV Surveillance

- Historically, only aggregate data shared...
  - Due to lack of effective treatments (earlier in epidemic)
  - Stigma/discrimination
    - Other major public health conditions (e.g. TB, syphilis) have historically used individual-level data for intervention
Evolution of HIV Surveillance

- Now greater support for using surveillance data for individual-level interventions, but very strict confidentiality and security standards required by CDC remain for surveillance programs

  → CDC moving towards a center-wide confidentiality and security standards in order to facilitate information exchange between programs

  Local-level RW programs may be able to strengthen partnerships w/surveillance by adopting similar policies
HIV Surveillance and Individual-level Interventions

- Surveillance can identify cases that may benefit from direct follow-up and linkage to care
  - HIV Partner Services for newly diagnosed cases
  - Pregnant HIV+ women, exposed babies
  - Cases of high public health priority
Towards Surveillance Supporting (Thoughtful) Action

- Confidential name-based HIV reporting system (2009 all jurisdictions)

- Increasing emphasis on “linkage to care”
  - Failure over time to curb epidemic
  - Recognition that diagnosis and treatment contribute to prevention
  - HRSA priority
  - CDC priority
A problem...
HIV, TB, STDs in Louisiana
2008 AIDS Case Rates

4th
HIV, TB, STDs in Louisiana

- In 2008 there were over 16,000 persons living with HIV in Louisiana, with an estimated 41% “not in care”

- Nearly 30% of HIV-exposed infants born during 2005-2007 have an indeterminate HIV status

- In 2008 - 7th in number of TB cases nationwide

- In 2008– 1st in rates of primary, secondary, and congenital syphilis in the nation
An opportunity...
Lost and Found

- Over 1000 persons whom OPH considered not in care for HIV, and 1500 persons with untreated syphilis, had attended visits for medical services in LSU HCSD facilities for other medical reasons (June 2007 match)

- The providers were unaware of their patient’s infection status and need for treatment (various reasons)
The possibilities....

- LSU and OPH desired to leverage available information to offer intervention for infected individuals with the goal of improving health outcomes and reducing transmission.

- LSU HCSD and OPH administrators embarked on a process to develop an information exchange partnership to reach people needing important public health follow-up.
Louisiana Public Health Information Exchange

- A carefully designed two-way electronic information exchange

- Uses OPH surveillance data to generate point of care messages for providers in the LSU HCSD (public hospital) system in Louisiana

- Targets patients with HIV, TB, syphilis who have fallen out of care, or never received test results, as well as perinatally HIV-exposed infants needing follow-up
LaPHIE Data Exchange Process

LSU HCSD Registration System → OPH - HAP Out of Care Persons

LSU HCSD Clinical System
Patient may require follow up for an infectious disease. (Click here to take action.)
Details: Intervention Needs for HIV

The Louisiana Office of Public Health has received and confirmed test results that indicate that the patient has HIV infection and possibly has not been informed of the results.

Please proceed with the Recommended Actions for intervention.
LaPHIE Partners

- Louisiana Office of Public Health
  Provides surveillance and treatment/services functions for the State
  - HIV/AIDS Program, STD Program, TB Program
  - Also DHH legal staff and DHH IRB

- LSU Health Care Services Division (HCSD)
  Large public hospital network (5th largest nationally), serving 6 of 9 public health regions in the state
  - Medical Informatics
  - Chief Medical Officer
  - LSU IRB
  - Clinics and Emergency Depts.
LaPHIE Partners

- Louisiana Public Health Initiative (LPHI) as neutral convener to establish governance, facilitate the partnership, provide additional support

- George Washington University School of Public Health to assist with evaluation
Development of LaPHIE

- Hypotheses
  - Patients who are “lost” to care or public health follow up will be linked to care and treatment through LaPHIE
  - The medical setting will be an appropriate and acceptable venue to electronically deliver public health notices to providers for their patients with important health conditions requiring follow-up
Development of LaPHIE

- Proposed target populations
  - Persons that OPH considers “not in care” for the targeted conditions (no record of CD4/VL in 12 mo)
  - Individuals that appear to have not received tests results and to be unaware of infection status
  - Exposed infants in need of follow-up

*Does not replace other public health follow-up mechanisms (Partner Services, nurse outreach, etc.)*
Development of LaPHIE

- Established partnership and governance
- Conducted consumer research
- Participated in an ethics review by national experts in biomedical ethics, public health ethics and AIDS privacy
- Requested a legal review of state legislation related to sharing of public health information
- Established an evaluation methodology
- Executed a data sharing agreement
- Developed and implemented the messaging system
Essential Questions

- We have the information and the technical ability to inform clinicians about patients known to be in need of care – SHOULD WE?

- Would this be accepted by patients, providers, and the public?

- Do state laws and regulations allow the proposed information exchange?

- Is surveillance data reliable as a basis for clinical interventions?

- Can we adequately address security/privacy concerns?
Input: “If we can, should we?”

- Ethics panel findings
  - “If you can, shouldn’t you?”
  - Keep true to your purpose (don’t extend uses of information), stay focused
  - Assure privacy and security of information
- Consumer research through focus groups supportive under certain conditions
- External community-based partner discussions
Input: Legal

- Legal review – by DHH legal staff
  - State and federal laws
  - Produced written report of findings
Input: Content of Message

- Created with input from clinicians and public health professionals
- Simple, intuitive design that informs users of recommended actions and allows for recording of actions taken
Implementation!

- Technical development and roll out
- Training providers
- Now active in the seven hospitals of LSU HCSD system (started in EDs only, then expanded to clinics)
Findings

- Preliminary Results
  - From February, 2009 to April, 2010 - 199 matches for patients out of care for HIV
  - Of these, 89 had returned to care as of May 2010
…And, of course, some challenges!

- Technical (capacity of hardware, sophistication of programming)
- Negotiating across systems and priorities
- Balance between desire for 100% reliable surveillance data, and desire to access as many out of care persons as possible
Using Surveillance Data for Public Health Action—Considerations

- Helpful commentary by Lee and Gostin - “Ethical Collection, Storage, and Use of Public Health Data: A Proposal for a National Privacy Act.” JAMA, July 1, 2009
Proposed Criteria as a Tool for Program Planning

- Legitimate public health purposes
  - Includes population-based or individual efforts to promote health, prevent disease

- Respect rights of individuals and communities
  - Seek input from those to be impacted
  - Minimize undue burden

- Privacy and security standards
  - Standards in place
  - Oversight and review
- Security measures
- Data use agreements
- Provide minimum information necessary
- Data quality
- Min. # of individuals and entities granted access
- Stewardship and trust
  - Question every step, adhere to agreements, avoid “creep” in scope or disclosures
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